

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|---|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/635,015 | 08/04/2003 | Christopher L. Hamlin | 03-0340 | 7590 |
| 86550 LSI Corporatio | 7590 04/17/200 on c/o Suiter Swantz pc | EXAMINER | | |
| 14301 FNB Pa | rkway, Suite 220 | KHOSHNOODI, NADIA | | |
| Omaha, NE 68 | 1154 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2437 | • |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/17/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|------------------|------------------------|--|
| 10/635,015 | HAMLIN, CHRISTOPHER L. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| NADIA KHOSHNOODI | 2437 | |

| | NADIA KHOSHNOODI | 2437 | | | | | | |
|--|--|------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 01 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | | |
| application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (2) a Notice of Application (3) and (4) are supplied to the following application (4) application (4) and (4) are supplied to the following application (4) are supplied to the following applied to the f | reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this ication, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the ication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.14. The reply must be filed within one of the following time dds: | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I: Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS for this the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRNAL REJECTION. Sea WHEP 750.07(f). | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension set have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extensions for leve under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) at set for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lates than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any semed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was compared. | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | of the date of appeal. Since | | | | | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | | | | | | |
| 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for | | | | | | | | |
| appeal; and/or | | | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. | | mpliant Amendment (I | OL-324). | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | lowable if submitted in a separate, t | imely filed amendmer | it canceling the | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | be entered and an e | planation of | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-5.7-14 and 16-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | | | | | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | | | | | |
| The requestion reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | | |
| /Emmanuel L. Moise/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2437 | /Nadia Khoshnoodi/ Examiner, Art Unit 2437 | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 1 and 10 have been amended to change the scope and now include the following features: "said trusted authority being in a vault and being configured for being operated according to at least one of-encryption measures and security measures" and "wherein all operations carried out by resource sets operating in an interior of the buried nucleus are inaccessible for inspection without a heroic means, said operations including deciphering a key provided by the buried nucleus via the secure protocol." These claims, as amended, require further search and/or consideration,